

QUENTIN ROAD STUDY

Dundee Road to Lake Cook Road



Cook County
Department of Transportation and Highways

Public Information Meeting
November 15, 2016



Meeting Agenda





PROJECT UPDATE

PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED


LIST OF ALTERNATIVES

EVALUATION ROUNDS 1 AND 2 RESULTS





Project Study Area



Project Study Limits

Public Meeting #1 – Project Scoping



- ▶ **December 9, 2015; 4 p.m. to 7 p.m.**
 - ▷ Open house format, with exhibit boards and repeating slideshow presentation
 - ▷ Local hotel location
 - ▷ Well attended by over 150 people
- ▶ **Purpose – Project Scoping**
 - ▷ Re-initiated the study
 - ▷ Presented the planning process
 - ▷ Reviewed existing conditions
 - ▷ Solicited community and public input and information
- ▶ **2-Week Comment Period**
 - ▷ 138 comments received
 - ▷ Multiple comment formats



Public Meeting #1 – Summary of Comments



- ▶ Comments received from the residents, local communities, bicyclists and traveling motorists

Strong support for the project (over 70%)

- ▶ Widen Quentin Road
- ▶ Add turn lanes
- ▶ Provide sidewalks and bike paths

Common remarks and themes

- ▶ Need additional travel lanes
- ▶ Include safety improvements for pedestrians and bicyclists at Deer Grove Preserve entrance
- ▶ Reduce speed limit





Project Purpose and Need

- ▶ Establishes a basis for the range of reasonable alternatives
- ▶ Purpose: Address existing and 2040 transportation needs
 - ▷ Strive to balance the transportation needs with the unique environmental setting along Quentin Road
- ▶ Transportation Needs:
 - ▷ Improve the facility condition and design
 - ▷ Improve safety
 - ▷ Improve mobility
 - ▷ Enhance system linkage



How to Address These Needs?



NEEDS:

1

IMPROVE FACILITY
CONDITION & DESIGN



- ▶ Construct a new bridge
- ▶ Upgrade the pavement
- ▶ Provide sidewalks and bike paths

2

IMPROVE SAFETY



- ▶ Provide turn lanes
- ▶ Remove lane drops (2 lanes to 1 lane)
- ▶ Provide continuous sidewalks and bike paths
- ▶ Install signalized crossing at Deer Grove FP

3

IMPROVE MOBILITY



- ▶ Provide additional travel lanes
- ▶ Provide turn lanes
- ▶ Improve sight lines
- ▶ Provide shoulders or wider lanes

4

ENHANCE SYSTEM LINKAGE



- ▶ Match roadway lanes to the north and south
- ▶ Connect to area bike paths and systems



Alternatives Evaluation Process



- ▶ Goal is to **balance the transportation needs** along the corridor **while minimizing impacts** to the adjacent human and natural resources of the corridor
- ▶ Four evaluation rounds with more detailed studies conducted in following rounds
 - ▷ Round 1 – **Purpose and Need Screening**
 - ▷ Round 2 – **Refined Purpose and Need Screening**
 - ▷ Round 3 – Performance and Impact Evaluation
 - ▷ Round 4 – Design Refinement
- ▶ Consistent, fair and transparent process
- ▶ **Cook County will make the ultimate decision** while considering input from project stakeholders



Evaluation Round I

Alternatives Considered




Evaluation Round 1

Quentin Road Alternatives



Alternative 1




Alternative 1

Two Lanes on Quentin Rd

- One lane in each direction
- No left turn lane
- Same as existing

Alternative 2



Alternative 2

Two Lanes on Quentin Rd with Left Turn Lane


- One lane in each direction
- Left turn lane at side streets

Evaluation Round I

Quentin Road Alternatives



Alternative 3



Alternative 3

Three Lanes on Quentin Rd

- One lane in each direction
- Continuous median with left turn lane at side streets

Alternative 4



Alternative 4

Four Lanes on Quentin Rd

- Two lanes in each direction
- No left turn lane




Evaluation Round I

Quentin Road Alternatives



Alternative 5




Alternative 5

Four Lanes on Quentin Rd with Left Turn Lane

- Two lanes in each direction
- Left turn lane at side streets

Alternative 6



Alternative 6

Five Lanes on Quentin Rd

- Two lanes in each direction
- Continuous median with left turn lane at side streets


Evaluation Round I

Parallel Route Alternatives



**Alternative 7
(Centered)**

**Alternative 7A
(Asymmetric)**



Alternative 7 Five Lanes on Ela Rd

- Two lanes in each direction
- Continuous median with left turn lane at side streets
- Alt 7A widens to the west to avoid the Deer Grove Forest Preserve




Evaluation Round I

Parallel Route Alternatives



**Alternative 8
(Centered)**

**Alternative 8A
(Asymmetric)**



Alternative 8

Seven Lanes on Hicks Rd

- Three lanes in each direction
- Continuous median with left turn lane at side streets
- Alt 8A widens to the east to avoid the Deer Grove Forest Preserve



Evaluation Round I

Purpose and Need Screening



- ▶ Does it meet Purpose & Need?
 - ▷ Facility Condition
 - ▷ Safety – vehicle and non-motorized
 - ▷ Mobility
 - ▷ System linkage – vehicle and non-motorized
- ▶ What are the impacts to the natural environment near Deer Grove?
 - ▷ Loss of acreage
 - ▷ Impacts to wetlands
- ▶ These items will be compared with a color coded system ranging from green (best performing) to red (relatively lowest performing)



Evaluation Round I

Purpose and Need Screening



Alternatives	QUENTIN ROAD ROW WIDTH	PURPOSE AND NEED CRITERIA ¹						ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS			
		Facility Condition and Design	Safety		Mobility	System Linkage		Natural Environment			
			Vehicle	Non-motorized		Vehicle	Non-motorized	Loss of Deer Grove Forest Preserve Acreage (Acres)	Impacts to Wetlands (Acres)		
No-Build	66' - 83'								0.0		0.00
Quentin Road											
1 - Two-lanes	90'							1.9		0.88	
2 - Two-lanes with left turn lanes	90' - 100'							2.6		1.20	
3 - Three-lanes	100'							2.9		1.34	
4 - Four-lanes	110'							4.0		1.60	
5 - Four-lanes with left turn lanes	110' - 120'							4.4		1.76	
6 - Five-lanes	120'							4.9		1.96	
Parallel Routes											
7 - Five-lane Ela Road (centered)	66' - 83'							1.9		0.0	
7a - Five-lane Ela Road (asymmetric)	66' - 83'							0.0		0.0	
8 - Seven-lane Hicks Road (centered)	66' - 83'							0.5		0.0	
8a - Seven-lane Hicks Road (asymmetric)	66' - 83'							0.0		0.0	

Notes:

1. Purpose and Need criteria are only rated as Best, Average, or Relatively Lowest Performance.

LEGEND

	Best Performance
	Good Performance
	Average Performance
	Poor Performance
	Relatively Lowest Performance



Evaluation Round I Alternatives Evaluation Flowchart




Evaluation Round 1:
Purpose and Need Screening

Evaluation Round 2:
Refined Purpose and Need Screening


Evaluation Round 3:
Performance and Impact Evaluation

Evaluation Round 4:
Design Refinement

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED



Additional alternatives developed from stakeholder input



Legend

- Alternative Carried Forward
- Alternative Dropped
- Alternative #
- No-Build Alternative




Evaluation Round 2

Combination Alternatives



Alternative 9 Two-Lane Quentin Road and Five-Lane Ela Road



Alternative 9

- Combines Alternative 1 and Alternative 7A
- Two-lane Quentin Road and Five-lane Ela Road




Evaluation Round 2

Combination Alternatives



Alternative 10

Two-Lane with Left Turn Lane Quentin Road
and Five-Lane Ela Road



Alternative 10

- Combines Alternative 2 and Alternative 7A
- Two-lane with left turn lane Quentin Road and Five-lane Ela Road




Evaluation Round 2

Combination Alternatives



Alternative 11 Three-Lane Quentin Road and Five-Lane Ela Road



Alternative 11

- Combines Alternative 3 and Alternative 7A
- Three-lane Quentin Road and Five-lane Ela Road




Evaluation Round 2

Combination Alternatives



Alternative 12 Two-Lane Quentin Road and Seven-Lane Hicks Road



Alternative 12

- Combines Alternative 1 and Alternative 8A
- Two-lane Quentin Road and Seven-lane Hicks Road


Evaluation Round 2

Combination Alternatives



Alternative 13

Two-Lane with Left Turn Lane Quentin Road
and Seven-Lane Hicks Road



Alternative 13

- Combines Alternative 2 and Alternative 8A
- Two-lane with left turn lane Quentin Road and Seven-lane Hicks Road




Evaluation Round 2

Combination Alternatives



Alternative 14

Three-Lane Quentin Road
and Seven-Lane Hicks Road



Alternative 14

- Combines Alternative 3 and Alternative 8A
- Three-lane Quentin Road and Seven-lane Hicks Road



Evaluation Round 2

Refined Purpose and Need Screening



- ▶ Does it meet the Purpose & Need? (Same criteria as Round 1)
- ▶ What are the impacts to the natural environment near Deer Grove? (Same criteria as Round 1)
- ▶ What are the impacts to the human environment near Deer Grove?
 - ▷ Potential displacements
 - ▷ Change in access
- ▶ Compared with the same color coded system ranging from green (best performing) to red (relatively lowest performing)



Evaluation Round 2

Refined Purpose and Need Screening



Alternatives	QUENTIN ROAD ROW WIDTH	PURPOSE AND NEED CRITERIA ¹						ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS					
		Facility Condition and Design	Safety		Mobility	System Linkage		Natural Environment		Human Environment			
			Vehicle	Non-motorized		Vehicle	Non-motorized	Loss of Deer Grove Forest Preserve Acreage (Acres)	Impacts to Wetlands (Acres)	Potential Displacements	Change in Travel Patterns and Access on Quentin Road		
No-Build	66' - 83'								0.0		0.00	0	
Quentin Road													
2 - Two-lanes with left turn lanes	90' - 100'								2.6		1.20	0	
3 - Three-lanes	100'								2.9		1.34	0	
4 - Four-lanes	110'								4.0		1.60	0	
5 - Four-lanes with left turn lanes	110' - 120'								4.4		1.76	0	
6 - Five-lanes	120'								4.9		1.96	0	
Combination Alternatives²													
9 - Two-lane Quentin Road & Five-lane Ela Road	66' - 83'								1.9		0.88	23	
10 - Two-lanes with left turn lanes Quentin Road & Five-lane Ela Road	90' - 100'								2.6		1.20	23	
11 - Three-lane Quentin Road & Five-lane Ela Road	100'								2.9		1.34	23	
12 - Two-lane Quentin Road & Seven-lane Hicks Road	66' - 83'								1.9		0.88	13	
13 - Two-lanes with left turn lanes Quentin Road & Seven-lane Hicks Road	90' - 100'								2.6		1.20	13	
14 - Three-lane Quentin Road & Seven-lane Hicks Road	100'								2.9		1.34	13	

Notes:

1. Purpose and Need criteria are only rated as Best, Average, or Relatively Lowest Performance.
2. Parallel Route Alternatives considered for evaluation as combination alternatives were those which were shifted away from the forest preserve (Alternatives 7a and 8a) to minimize/avoid impacts to the forest preserve property and resources to the greatest extent possible.

LEGEND

■	Best Performance
■	Good Performance
■	Average Performance
■	Poor Performance
■	Relatively Lowest Performance



Evaluation Round 2

Alternatives Evaluation Flowchart




Evaluation Round 1:
Purpose and Need Screening

Evaluation Round 2:
Refined Purpose and Need Screening

Evaluation Round 3:
Performance and Impact Evaluation

Evaluation Round 4:
Design Refinement

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED



Legend

- Alternative Carried Forward
- Alternative Dropped
- Alternative #
- No-Build Alternative
- LTL** Left Turn Lane



Future Evaluation Rounds



- ▶ **Evaluation Round 3: Performance and Impact Evaluation**
 - ▷ Develop variations of alternatives based on:
 - Lane widths
 - Curb and gutter versus shoulders
 - ▷ Quantify the environmental impacts
 - Acres of property acquisition from Deer Grove Forest Preserve and private properties
 - Number of trees lost
 - Acres of direct impacts to wetlands
 - Acres of impacts to floodplains
 - ▷ Other environmental considerations
 - Future noise levels
 - Water quality
 - Stormwater detention



Future Evaluation Rounds



- ▶ **Evaluation Round 4: Design Refinement**
 - ▷ Identify project specific features of each alternative
 - ▷ Consider possible combinations of alternatives (hybrid)
 - ▷ Consider variances to standard design criteria to further reduce impacts
 - ▷ Use same criteria from Round 3 to evaluate alternatives
 - ▷ Consider feedback from the public



Study Timeline



The Environmental Assessment Report (EA) is expected to be completed by 2018



Scoping

Solicit public input on issues and concerns the project should address



Purpose & Need

Establish why the project is needed

123

Alternatives

Identify and consider alternatives that address the project's Purpose & Need

1X3

Screening

Review alternatives to determine if they are reasonable and feasible considering human & natural environment, engineering, and cost



Draft Environmental Assessment (EA)

Documents affected environment, range of alternatives and analyses of the impacts

We Are Here

Final EA

Announce Proposed Action based on comments received on Draft EA



Public Information Meeting #1

Public Information Meeting #2

Public Information Meeting #3

Public Hearing



What Will You See At This Public Meeting?



- ▶ Series of exhibits
- ▶ Project team and staff are available to answer questions
- ▶ Please provide input and comments
 - ▷ Comment period open until December 2nd
- ▶ Future public meetings
 - ▷ Spring 2017 (Present final alternatives evaluation)
 - ▷ Winter 2018 (Present recommendations)



THANK YOU FOR COMING QUENTIN ROAD STUDY

Next presentation will begin shortly



Cook County

Department of Transportation and Highways

Public Information Meeting
November 15, 2016



Next
Presentation in

5

minutes



Cook County
Department of Transportation and Highways

Public Information Meeting #2
November 15, 2016

Next
Presentation in

4

minutes



Cook County
Department of Transportation and Highways

Public Information Meeting #2
November 15, 2016

Next
Presentation in

3

minutes



Cook County
Department of Transportation and Highways

Public Information Meeting #2
November 15, 2016

Next
Presentation in

2

minutes



Cook County
Department of Transportation and Highways

Public Information Meeting #2
November 15, 2016

Next
Presentation in
1
minute



Cook County
Department of Transportation and Highways

Public Information Meeting #2
November 15, 2016